



www.ehps.net/ehp **The European
Health Psychologist**
Bulletin of the European Health Psychology Society

- 330** Sabrina Cipolletta,
Mariët Hagedoorn &
Noa Vilchinsky
- 332** Fabio Lucidi
- 333** Rory O'Connor
- 335** Sherry Pagoto
- 336** Annmarie Cano
- 337** Annmarie Cano, Rory
O'Connor, Sherry Pagoto &
Fabio Lucidi

EHPS 2017

Social cognitive mechanisms regulating doping
intention and use: research and intervention

Suicide as (health) behaviour: towards a
psychological understanding of suicidal behaviour

Healthcare social media (#HCSM): A new approach
to studying and promoting health behavior

Partner responses to emotional disclosures of
pain-related distress: Thinking outside the
operant box

Short interviews with the keynotes speakers



EHPS 2017

Sabrina Cipolletta

University of Padova

Mariët Hagedoorn

University of Groningen

Noa Vilchinsky

Bar-Ilan University

"The real act of discovery consists not in finding new lands, but in seeing with new eyes."

Marcel Proust

The 31st Annual Conference of the European Health Psychology Society (EHPS) is hosted by the University of Padova (Italy), which is one of the oldest universities in Europe, founded in 1222. We hope that this conference will offer the delegates from different countries the opportunity to experience the sensation of the university's long and important tradition, while at the same time we hope that this prestigious university will embrace the vitality of the Health Psychology community. A vitality that becomes apparent in the large number of participants and the richness of this year's conference programme.

We received more than 900 contributions from academics and practitioners in health psychology from 47 countries. The scientific programme is comprised of six 27 symposia, four roundtables, 48 sessions of 304 oral presentations, which include three state-of-the-art presentations, and 60 interactive poster sessions of 373 posters. Furthermore, six high-quality pre-conference workshops are being offered.

The conference theme this year is "Innovative ideas in Health Psychology." The aim has been to go beyond the traditional contributions and introduce new concepts and methods. Contributions were submitted under 21 conference tracks, including: eHealth and mHealth; Individual Differences and Health; Coping with Chronic

Disease; Health Psychology and Ageing; Social Support, Caregiving, and Health; Health Behavior Change; Occupational Health; Health Services Research; and Methodology.

The theme of the conference is evident in the variety of keynote talks. We are delighted to welcome four renowned keynote speakers. Prof Fabio Lucidi (University of Rome) will give a keynote entitled "Social cognitive mechanisms regulating doping intention and use: research and intervention", Prof Sherry Pagoto (University of Massachusetts) will talk about "Healthcare social media (#HCSM): A new approach to studying and promoting health behavior", Prof Rory O'Connor's (University of Glasgow) talk will be on "Suicide as (health) behaviour: towards a psychological understanding of suicidal behavior", and Prof Annmarie Cano (Wayne State University) will talk about "Partner responses to emotional disclosures of pain-related distress: Thinking outside the operant box." We have no doubt that each one of them will enlighten us, providing cutting-edge perspectives on health psychology issues.

The 27 symposia cover a wide range of topics including novel approaches to behaviour change and evidence synthesis, ePain, women's health in socio-cultural context, dyadic processes to promote well-being, social exchange processes and health behaviour, innovative ideas in physical activity and online computer-tailoring, innovative qualitative research. The roundtables deal with health psychology and big-data medical research, training healthcare professionals in novel health psychology strategies, and tools for complex research design.

We hope that the participants will take the

opportunity to meet up with colleagues and return home with a lot of innovative ideas.



Sabrina Cipolletta
Chair Local Organizing Committee
Department of General
Psychology, University of Padova,
Italy
sabrina.cipolletta@unipd.it



Mariët Hagedoorn
Chair Scientific Committee
Department of Health Psychology,
University Medical Center
Groningen, University of
Groningen, The Netherlands
mariet.hagedoorn@umcg.nl



Noa Vilchinsky
Chair Scientific Committee
Department of Psychology, Bar-
Ilan University, Israel
Noa.vilchinsky@biu.ac.il

Social cognitive mechanisms regulating doping intention and use: research and intervention

Fabio Lucidi

Department of Psychology of Development and Socialization Processes

Abstract

Doping use is an illicit behavior which is unhealthy and intentionally adopted to achieve specific objectives or goals mainly related to performance enhancement or to aesthetic purposes.

Doping use goes well beyond the realm of high-level or professional sports. International epidemiological data from different research suggests that about 1-7% of adolescents and young adults use doping substances. The aim of the talk is to provide:

1. Some data on doping use among adolescent amateur athletes.

2. Some premises on doping and on social cognitive variables able to predict intentions to use doping. This theoretical framework provides the basis for identifying some antecedents of doping use, in order to identify target variables for intervention's programs.

3. Data from national and international research programs focusing on a general hypothesis that intentions to use doping use in young sports partly depends on athletes' belief systems and self-regulative mechanisms.

4. Data and information about national and international intervention programs focused on doping use in adolescent and young adults.

Brief profile

Fabio Lucidi, Ph.D, is a full professor in Psychometrics since 2011, at the Department of

Psychology of Development and Socialization Processes, "Sapienza"- University of Rome. He started his teaching career since 1993, actually his teaching activity involve more than 300 students per year, undergraduate, post graduate and doctoral. Fabio has diverse research interests in the areas of health and sport psychology. His main focus is the study of the processes involved in people's "self-regulation" of health behavior. Lucidi's research activities have been funded from different national and international institution (e.g. Italian Ministry of Health, WADA anti-doping agency, International Olympic Committee). He authored more than 150 scientific papers edited in international scientific journals, with high impact indexes and he has been lecturer for several scientific international and national conferences. Fabio is in the editorial board of several national and international scientific journals, and he is involved in many international collaborations with European as well as American Institutions and Universities. He is the deputy Dean for Psychology at the Faculty of Medicine and Psychology, "Sapienza" - University of Rome and he is the President of the Italian Association of Psychology.



Fabio Lucidi

Department of Psychology of Development and Socialization Processes, "Sapienza"- University of Rome

fabio.lucidi@uniroma1.it

Suicide as (health) behaviour: towards a psychological understanding of suicidal behaviour

Rory O'Connor
University of Glasgow

Abstract

Suicide and attempted suicide are major public health concerns with complex aetiologies which encompass a multifaceted array of risk and protective factors. There is growing recognition that we need to move beyond psychiatric categories to further our understanding of the pathways to both. Recent approaches have conceptualised suicide as a (health) behaviour, such that an individual makes a decision to take their own life, therefore an appreciation of the psychology of the suicidal mind is central to suicide prevention. Another key challenge is that our understanding of the factors that determine behavioural enactment (i.e., which individuals with suicidal thoughts will act on these thoughts) is limited. Although a comprehensive understanding of these determinants of suicidality requires an appreciation of biological, psychological and social perspectives, the focus in this presentation is primarily on the psychological determinants of self-harm and suicide. To address these issues, I will describe the Integrated Motivational-Volitional (IMV) Model of Suicidal Behaviour (O'Connor, 2011) which derives from health, social and clinical psychological theory. This tripartite model maps the relationship between background factors and trigger events, and the development of suicidal ideation/intent through to suicidal behaviour. I will present a selection of research studies to illustrate how psychological factors increase suicide risk and what can be done to ameliorate such risk. The implications for the prevention of self-harm and suicide will also be discussed.

Brief profile

Rory O'Connor PhD CPsychol AFBPsS FAcSS is Professor of Health Psychology at the University of Glasgow in Scotland where he is also Head of the Mental Health & Wellbeing Research Group. He is a Past President of the International Academy of Suicide Research and a current Vice President of the International Association for Suicide Prevention. Rory leads the Suicidal Behaviour Research Laboratory (Web: www.suicideresearch.info; Twitter: @suicideresearch) at Glasgow, one of the leading suicide/self-harm research groups in the UK. He has published extensively in the field of suicide and self-harm, specifically concerning the psychological processes which precipitate suicidal behaviour and self-harm. In addition, he is author of *Understanding Suicidal Behaviour* (with Noel Sheehy), co-editor of *The Routledge Major Works Series on Suicide* (with Keith Hawton) and of the *International Handbook of Suicide Prevention* (2nd edition with Jane Pirkis) and of *The Routledge Major Works Series on Health Psychology* (forthcoming, with Daryl O'Connor). He was also the UK National Representative for the International Association for Suicide Prevention and is a member of the American Association of Suicidology. He serves on the Scientific Review Board of the American Foundation for Suicide Prevention and is an Associate Editor of *Archives of Suicide Research, Suicide and Life-Threatening Behavior and Behavior Therapy*. Rory acts as an advisor to a range of national and international organisations including national governments on the areas of suicide and self-harm.



Rory O'Connor
Mental Health & Wellbeing,
Academic Centre, University of
Glasgow, UK
Rory.OConnor@glasgow.ac.uk

Healthcare social media (#HCSM): A new approach to studying and promoting health behavior

Sherry Pagoto

University of
Massachusetts

Abstract

Social media use is nearing ubiquity. Social media is not only used to connect with friends and family but it is also increasingly being used by the public to discuss healthy and unhealthy behaviors, gather and exchange health information, and create communities around a health condition or health behavior change. This provides an enormous opportunity for health behavior researchers to study health-related behavior in new ways and to deliver behavioral programming and/or public health messaging to people who need it most. In this talk I will discuss my work exploring these ideas as they pertain to obesity management and cancer prevention. The potential for social media to increase the impact of health behavior research will be discussed.

Brief profile

Dr. Pagoto is a Professor in the Department of Medicine at the University of Massachusetts Medical School. She is also a licensed clinical psychologist and co-founder of the UMass Center for mHealth and Social Media at the UMass Medical School. She is an expert in behavioral treatments for obesity and cancer prevention, both via her research and clinically. Her obesity research has focused on leveraging technology to increase the impact and reduce the cost of delivering health behavior interventions. Her cancer prevention work

has focused on using social media to disseminate health messages to individuals at risk and impacting health policy. She has 4 federally funded studies on these topics. She has had federal funding for her program of research for 14 years and has published 150 papers in peer-reviewed journals. Dr. Pagoto is also co-chair of the Indoor Tan-Free Skin Smart Campus Initiative, a national initiative promoting skin cancer prevention on college campuses. She has received several awards for her work including the UMass Medical School Women in Science and Health Achievement Award in 2015, The Obesity Society Pioneer in mHealth/eHealth Award in 2014, Society of Behavioral Medicine Early Career/Young Investigator Award in 2006, and the Western Michigan University Distinguished Alumni Award in 2011. Devoted to science communication she is a frequent contributor to US News and World Report, Psychology Today, and KevinMD.com. Her work has been featured in major news outlets including CNN, NPR, NBC News, ABC News, and Good Morning America.



Sherry Pagoto

Division of Preventive and
Behavioral Medicine, Department of
Medicine, University of
Massachusetts Medical School
Sherry.Pagoto@umassmed.edu

Partner Responses to Emotional Disclosures of Pain-Related Distress: Thinking Outside the Operant Box

Annmarie Cano
Wayne State University

Abstract

Health psychologists have long appreciated the effects of the social environment on health behaviors, coping with illness, and health outcomes. For instance, pain researchers have relied on operant theory to highlight the important role of significant others in reinforcing pain behaviors (i.e., illness behaviors associated with pain). Numerous studies have provided support for the operant model and behavioral treatments have been developed to teach significant others including spouses and parents to ignore pain behaviors and reinforce well behaviors in their loved ones. However, a new line of research has raised the questions about whether all pain behaviors should be extinguished to improve health and well-being.

After providing an overview of the operant model and related research support, Dr. Cano will introduce interpersonal relationships and empathy models as a way to “think outside the operant box” with respect to illness behaviors. In particular, she will consider whether some behaviors such as emotional disclosures about illness should in fact be reinforced. She will also discuss the research and clinical implications of this line of thinking and share her treatment development work based on mindfulness and acceptance strategies for couples facing chronic pain.

Brief profile

Dr. Annmarie Cano is a Professor of Psychology and Associate Dean of Student Services in the Graduate School at Wayne State University in Detroit, Michigan (USA). Dr. Cano was elected a Fellow of the American Psychological Association in Divisions 38 (Society for Health Psychology) and 43 (Society for Couple and Family Psychology). She has also served as Associate Editor at both Health Psychology and Journal of Family Psychology and currently sits on the editorial boards of American Psychologist and Journal of Pain. Dr. Cano conducts research on emotion regulation and intimacy processes in couples facing health problems with a particular interest in empathic interaction to promote health and well-being. She has over 60 publications and her work has been published in high impact journals including Pain and Psychological Bulletin. Her research has been funded by the National Institutes of Mental Health and her current work is focused on developing and testing mindfulness and acceptance intervention strategies to improve emotion regulation processes in couples with chronic pain. Dr. Cano earned a master’s degree in psychology and a doctoral degree in clinical psychology from Stony Brook University. She has a 6-year old son and resides with her husband in the Detroit, Michigan area.



Annmarie Cano
Associate Dean, The Graduate
School, Wayne State University,
Detroit, Michigan, USA
acano@wayne.edu

Short interviews with the keynote speakers

Fabio Lucidi

1. Please identify a moment that changed the course of your career.

I was a Ph.D. student at that time. Two papers have been just published from my research activity focused on sleep regulation when a scientific society aimed to promote that research field opening a call for young researchers (under thirty years) to participate in a summer school, without any fees. Today, one has several chances to participate in summer school in any research area, but at that time it was quite a rare opportunity. I participated to that call, together with young researchers from the whole Europe and I have been so lucky to be selected. During two fantastic weeks in Paris, I had lessons from the most important scholars in Europe in that field, the opportunity to exchange autonomously experience and ideas with young researchers coming from several countries in Europe. I learned that the passion of a young student is the same of an experienced researcher, the research work is a fantastic challenge ever and wherever, without any cultural barrier or geographical boundary. When I came back to Italy my career's goals were finally clear and the direction was definitively oriented toward scientific research. Now my research interests are moved into different directions from the ones I was following at that time, but I still keep the same passion that those experience gifted to me. I am very grateful to those who offered me that opportunity and I am convinced that supporting young researchers' motivation is one of the most important aims for any scientific society.

2. Please identify one journal article that all psychologists should read (not an article that you authored):

Some of the most powerful ideas are also the clearest – and are explained in a so simple and net way that a child can understand them. Actually, while reading the publication of Albert Bandura's 1977 titled "Self-Efficacy: Toward A Unifying Theory of Behavior Change," I perceived myself as a child (I was very young at that time) facing with a general, complex theoretical framework of an extraordinary heuristic power. That theoretical framework was absolutely complicated in its several aspects, but explained in a so fascinating and clear way to be understandable even by myself.

That article has been an incredible heuristic value in promoting psychological research on self-efficacy, and this term is now ubiquitous in psychology and related fields. Furthermore, since efficacy beliefs play a crucial role in psychological adjustment, psychological problems, physical health, as well as professionally guided and self-guided behavioral change strategies it also has had a great impact on several clinical and health psychologists in their activities. I think that all psychologists should be aware about what this theory states, about how expectations of personal efficacy determine in terms of behavior and behavioural changes, about the factors influencing the cognitive processing of efficacy and about the differential power of diverse therapeutic procedures based on the cognitive mechanisms proposed by Bandura. I think that every psychologist, even those who are very far from the social-cognitive theoretical frameworks can learn a general lesson from reading this article: a psychologist should be prepared to accept complexity without any fear,

but even without any unnecessary complication.

3. What is the most important lesson that you have learnt?

To combine commitments and optimism in research and teaching activities. I think that strong belief and acceptance of the research's values such as intellectual honesty and searching for truth following ethical rules, willingness to exert considerable effort to increase one's knowledges, skills, and ability to pursue his or her autonomous research goals are the key factors for a satisfactory and passionate research activity.

4. What advice would you offer to young psychologists?

To follow their work interests and to foster their autonomous motivations and then to be prepared to overcome difficulties, being positive in this not-easy professional (and historical) period. The economic crisis made things quite difficult and there is a diffuse need of psychology at several levels. The demonstrable contribution that psychologists can offer embraces individuals, organizations and society. Psychologists have the possibility to contribute to health and wellness at a personal and societal level. Young colleagues have the possibility to contribute to improve the effectiveness and sustainability of public, private and third sector organizations; to improve social welfare and cohesion; to increase economic prosperity, wealth creation and regeneration; to enhance cultural enrichment and quality of life. We have new domains to study, new assessment' tools, new interventions methods, and new information about how psychological processes work at more basic and broader levels. Recent theories and methods in health psychology stem from collaborations of social and clinical psychologist with neuro-scientist, cognitive psychologist, and developmental psychologist. Psychology is going to develop new multidisciplinary knowledge collaborating with medicine, economy, engineering, genetics, and cybernetics, only to do some example. In this exciting time no one can make

exact prevision about the future. Young colleagues should engage their skills in the challenges that seems more attractive to their own autonomous interest and they should be continuously motivated to develop more complex skills. They must not forget the role of self-efficacy in generating options and creating work opportunities, overwhelming evidence exists in support of the influence of efficacy in the cultivation of intrinsic interests and other work motivations. Young psychologists (especially young researchers) are then required to develop robust work efficacy beliefs, high career aspirations and a sense of agency in career pursuits, but they also need to develop a strong efficacy for managing professional related demands along with their personal aspirations and values. The advice that I would offer to myself as a professor is: sharing knowledge to enhance competence and autonomy, and cultivating a strong sense of agency is what one can do for assisting young psychologists, who, in order to realize their goals in their work and in their personal life may experience special difficulties to overcome.

5. What would you research if you have unlimited money and resources?

It is quite difficult to assume this perspective for me, since limitation of money and resources is the typical condition of an academic researcher in Italy, where basic research appears to be somehow neglected and where university funding has dwindled to a bare minimum. I would probably study self-regulation and consciousness in a deeper way, considering the new and powerful measurement tools provided by technology. Consciousness provides the information base for thinking about events, planning, constructing courses of action and reflecting on the adequacy of one's thinking and actions. Mental events are brain activities, they are not immaterial entities existing apart from neural systems, but cognitive processes are not only emergent brain activities, they also exert determinative influence. In my view, human mind is generative, creative, proactive, and self-

reflective not just reactive. According to a social cognitive perspective, stripped of personal factors and agentic capability of decision and action, people are devoid of any subjectivity, deliberative regulation, or personal identity. These are fundamental factors in understanding human health related decision and health behavior. At the same time, recent advances in cognitive neurosciences leads to new proposals to describe the relation between intentionality and consciousness. Cognitive neuroscience and related technologies constitute a multifaceted discipline that is burgeoning on many fronts defining new challenges to the detection of psychological states and intentions. There is little doubt that great progress has been made over the last 10 to 15 years, in understanding the physiological and neural bases for psychological processes and behavior. The study of the processes involved in people's "self-regulation" of health behavior should consider these progresses but there are no exhaustive lists of issues that need to be addressed and questions that need to be answered. These include the nature of psychological states, the nature of neurophysiological and neural activity, and barriers to identification of mental states and intentions.

6. Please identify one challenge that health psychology should be addressing, but is not.

Behavioral intentions are seen as a key ingredient if one want to understand why and how people engage themselves in various healthy behaviors and refrain from risky habits. Researchers made a great effort in order to identify variables and psychological processes that are able to influence behavioral intentions. Intentions, in turn are strictly related to behaviors. Despite this strong relationship, we are still largely unaware about psychological process that leads from intention to action. For instances, we know that medium size experimentally induced changes in intention resulted in trivial-sized changed in behavior. Whether or not the intentions are translated

into action is a focal challenge for research in health psychology. The problem is still unresolved but the debate is clearly open and this line of enquiry has generated the term "intention-behavior" gap in the scientific community.

Rory O'Connor

1. Please identify a moment that changed the course of your career.

As a second year undergraduate psychology student at Queen's University Belfast, with some colleagues, I organised the Psychology Students of Ireland conference in Belfast. As part of this conference, we invited Professor Marie Johnston to be a keynote speaker (apparently she only agreed because in my letter of invitation I said I would guarantee Derek and her a great time!). Although, I had read some health psychology as part of my degree course, it hadn't really grabbed by attention. However, I was really impressed by Marie, her talk and her work – and have been hooked on health psychology ever since (incidentally, Marie and Derek had an amazing time in Belfast).

2. Please identify one journal article that all psychologists should read (not an article that you authored).

Strack, F., Deutsch, R. (2004). Reflective and impulsive determinants of social behavior. *Personality and Social Psychology Review*, 8, 220-247.

3. What is the most important lesson that you have learnt?

Early on in my career, I didn't stretch myself as much as I should have and I kept within my academic comfort zone. I turned down some research opportunities because I didn't know whether I had the appropriate skills to successfully deliver on the opportunities. On reflection, I did have the skills but my reluctance was a confidence issue; so the lesson is that it is important to

believe in yourself and (within reason) say yes to research opportunities that are outside your comfort zone. Grasping such chances should help to foster your academic development and career progression. Psychologists have an impressive range of skills, and we should make more use of them!

4. What advice would you offer to young psychologists?

Collaborate, collaborate, collaborate! In Glasgow, we have set up an Early Career Researchers' (ECRs) Forum on Suicide and Self-harm which meets annually. The feedback from this event is fantastic (attracting delegates from across Europe)– but one of the things it does really well is it helps ECRs to build research networks which can lead to collaborations. Psychological research is almost always a team endeavour, so developing fruitful collaborations early on in your career is really beneficial. In addition to improving your psychological research, such collaborations should provide a supportive network when the inevitable rejections (that we all get) come in. They are also people who you can celebrate your successes with. It is really important that we celebrate our successes! Also, be nice. Treat everyone you work with – junior or senior – in the same way that you would like to be treated. Finally, follow your dreams!

5. What would you research if you have unlimited money and resources?

The research that I do spans public health and clinical research and it straddles both clinical and health psychology. So, If I had unlimited money and resources I would develop and test the effectiveness of multilevel complex interventions (based on the existing public health / psychological evidence base) across different countries which would be sufficiently large (participants in the 100,000s) to determine whether they could actually reduce suicide. This would be really exciting because, given the low base rate for suicide, very few intervention studies are ever sufficiently powered to detect changes in

suicide (as a result, proxy outcomes like suicide attempts are usually employed).

6. Please identify one challenge that health psychology should be addressing, but is not.

Although there has been progress in recent years, we need to expand our work in low and middle-income countries (LMICs). In terms of understanding the determinants of health and wellbeing and developing interventions to help the most vulnerable, we have so much to offer. Taking an example from my own field, the vast majority of all suicides in the world are in LMICs (75% of the world's 804,000 suicides annually occur in LMICs), but the overwhelming majority of research is conducted in high-income countries. As a result, efforts to reduce suicide in these countries have not been very effective, unsurprisingly. Cross-cultural health psychology is vital to the future of the discipline.

Sherry Pagoto

1. Please identify a moment that changed the course of your career.

In 2003, I was awarded a 5 year career development grant that allowed me to shift my career from clinical to research. I had strong clinical training in graduate school but lacked a strong research background. On my post-doctoral fellowship I decided I wanted a research career to explore the unanswered questions I encountered in my clinical work. The moment this grant was funded I received the time and resources to make that transition.

2. Please identify one journal article that all psychologists should read (not an article that you authored).

Recently, a paper I keep coming back to is Applying What We Know to Accelerate Cancer Prevention by Graham Colditz. We have the knowledge to prevent half of all cancers and he lays out the reasons why we have failed to put that

knowledge to action. As behavioral scientists, we need to be at the forefront of solutions that push implementation of that knowledge forward. Here is the link

<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22461645>

3. What is the most important lesson that you have learnt?

We have a dire need to educate the public about behavioral science and how science works in general. In the US we are learning the consequences of poorly educating the masses about science and behavioral science in particular.

4. What advice would you offer to young psychologists?

If you go into research it is important that you continue to interface with your target population so that their faces and experiences don't turn into data points. If you go into clinical care, it is important that you continue to interface with the research literature so that patient care is guided by the best evidence of the time.

5. What would you research if you have unlimited money and resources?

I would study what I study now but I would push forward my much bigger, high risk ideas that would be very difficult to get past funding agencies.

6. Please identify one challenge that health psychology should be addressing, but is not.

We have access to so many new ways to measure behavior, including big data, mobile technology, social network data, as well as new methodologies by which to study and model behavior. I'd love to see more work focused on leveraging these to develop new conceptual models of behavior change.

Annmarie Cano

1. Please identify a moment that changed the course of your career.

In 2002, I was traveling with my husband in the Netherlands and Belgium for his research and

"cold-called" Dr. Geert Crombez at the University of Gent to say that I would love to visit his lab and learn more about his research. I had never met or corresponded with him before and I assumed he would be too busy. To my delight, he invited me to his lab and set up time to meet with his team. He was a gracious host and the visit stimulated a number of research ideas. That visit also marked the beginning of a successful collaboration with one of his post-docs at the time, Dr. Liesbet Goubert. I will always be thankful for his hospitality and generosity.

2. Please identify one journal article that all psychologists should read (not an article that you authored).

There are so many to choose from! How about a book? Fordyce's Behavioral Methods for Chronic Pain and Illness (2014) edited by Chris Main, Frank Keefe, Johan Valeyen, and Kevin Vowles, is a good blend of old and new. It reprints Fordyce's seminal work applying operant principles to the treatment of pain and each chapter includes a commentary by a different researcher in pain and health psychology.

3. What is the most important lesson that you have learnt?

It is impossible for one person to do everything. As an early career psychologists, I thought I could figure everything out on my own but I quickly realized that it was not true. Speaking with colleagues and collaborating with them not only stimulated new ideas I couldn't have developed on my own but these activities were also quite fun.

4. What advice would you offer to young psychologists?

Seek out multiple mentors from multiple institutions. There are many experienced psychologists who want to mentor and guide the next generation so do not fear that mentors will say they do not have time. Mentors can introduce you to people, collaborate with you, give you greater confidence about taking the next step in your career. You can then "pay it forward" as you

progress through your own career.

5. What would you research if you have unlimited money and resources?

This is a tough question as I think the resource that limits me most is time. If I had unlimited money, however, I would like to investigate large-scale public health interventions to improve quality of life rather than smaller localized intervention studies. I am also quite interested in equity and access to higher education, but again, time is the most limiting factor for me.

6. Please identify one challenge that health psychology should be addressing, but is not.

The international migration crisis seems an important and timely topic to be studied by health psychologists. Some research is focusing on mental health of migrants and rightly so, but there is a lot more to do to help this vulnerable and growing population.

EHP Editorial Board

Editors

Anthony Montgomery
University of Macedonia, Greece

Konstadina Griva
National University of Singapore,
Republic of Singapore

Co-Editors

Teresa Corbett
University College Galway, Ireland

Catrinel Craciun
Babes-Bolyai University Cluj,

Romania

Thomas Fuller
Maastricht University, The
Netherlands

Kyra Hamilton
Griffith University, Australia

Aikaterini Kassavou
University of Cambridge, UK

Floor Kroese
Utrecht University, The
Netherlands

Dominika Kwasnicka,
University of Newcastle, UK

Marta Marques
University of Lisbon, Portugal

Editorial Manager

Marianna Dalkou
Aristotle University of
Thessaloniki, Greece

EHPS Executive Committee (2014-2016)

President

Robbert Sanderma
University of Groningen |
University Medical Center,
Groningen, The Netherlands

President Elect

Karen Morgan
Royal College of Surgeons in
Ireland, Ireland & Perdana
University, Kuala Lumpur,
Malaysia

Past President

Falko Sniehotta
Newcastle University, United
Kingdom

Secretary

Diana Taut
Babes-Bolyai University, Romania

Treasurer

Gudrun Sproesser
University of Konstanz, Germany

Ordinary Member & Grants Officer

Molly Byrne
National University of Ireland
Galway, Ireland

Ordinary Member & Membership and Communication Officer

Rik Crutzen
Maastricht University, The
Netherlands

Ordinary Member

Ewa Gruszczynska
University of Social Science and
Humanities Warsaw, Poland

Co-opted Member and Web Officer

Gjalt-Jorn Peters
Open University, The Netherlands

The Executive Committee is
supported by Sharon Cahill,
Administrator.

Disclaimer: The views expressed within the European Health Psychologist are those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of the European Health Psychology Society (EHPS) or the European Health Psychologist's (EHP) editorial board.